





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/783,072	02/15/2001	Takayuki Tsuboi	35.C15131	3809
5514	7590 10/22/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			BLACKMAN, RO	CHELLE ANN J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Survey	09/783,072	TSUBOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rochelle Blackman	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I ION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 June 2002				
0-> C	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal ma	tters prosecution as to the mosts in			
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-28 and 30-32</u> is/are po	ending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-28</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15, 17-28, and 30-32</u> is/are re	ejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer,				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	_				
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	iments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received in Ap	oplication No.			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	e priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 LLS C. s	eceived.			
a) L The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has been	en received			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	inesuc priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.			
) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940)) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	X\	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 09/783,072

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 17-28, and 30-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berge et al., PCT Publication No. WO99/18456.

Berge discloses a "photo-taking device"/ an "imaging optical system"/ an "image pick-up means"/ an "optical system in which a predetermined image is formed or light of the image is converged by a lens element, said optical system comprising a variable-power element/optical element incorporated in the lens element" having a "container"(see Figs. 1-6); "first and second liquids... differing substantially from each other in refractive index... without mixing with each other... making the boundary between said first and second liquids having a rounded shape"(see 11 and 13 of Figs. 1-6 and pg. 12, lines 4-6); and an "electrode..."(see 16, 17, 26, and 75-79 of Figs. 1-6).



Application/Control Number: 09/783,072

Art Unit: 2851

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15, 17-28, and 30-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,449,081. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed "optical device"/ "photo-taking device"/ "image pickup means" is met by the patented "optical device"; the claimed "optical element"/ "variable-power optical element" is met by the patented "optical element"; the claimed "optical system"/ "imaging optical system" is met by the patented "optical system"; the claimed "container" and "first and second fluids" are met by the patented "first fluid and second fluid being confined in a sealed space created between the first support and second support"; the claimed "electrode"/ "first electrode" and "second electrode" is met by the patented "first electrode" and "second electrode"; and the claimed "voltage application circuit for applying a voltage to said electrode, wherein the shape of the boundary between said two liquids is changed by application of a

Art Unit: 2851

voltage through said electrode to change the quantity of transmitted light in the bundle of rays passing through said optical element"/ an "application circuit for applying a voltage to said electrode, wherein the shape of the boundary is changed by application of a voltage to change the refractive power with respect to light passing through said optical element" is met by the patented "... varying a voltage applied to said second fluid, the shape of an interface between said first fluid and second fluid is altered, so as to change an amount of light passing through said optical element". The claimed features and functions of the claimed elements are similarly met by the patented features and functions of the patented elements.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rochelle Blackman whose telephone number is (703) 308-2879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell Adams can be reached on (703) 308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DAVID M. GRAY PRIMARY EXAMINER